QUALITY ANALYSIS OF THE FARINA BEAUTY CLINIC MOBILE APPLICATION USING THE SERVICE QUALITY (SERVQUAL) METHOD

M. Wahidin^{1*}, Lila Setiyani², Wulan Rinatul Aeni³

¹²³Information System, STMIK Rosma, Karawang

Author's email: m.wahidin@dosen.rosma.ac.id; lila.setiyani@dosen.rosma.ac.id; wulan.rinatul@mhs.rosma.ac.id *Corresponding author: m.wahidin@dosen.rosma.ac.id

Abstract. Farina Beauty Clinic is one of the beauty clinics which is a beauty clinic in Karawang that handles facial and body skin beauty problems. Farina Beauty Clinic really prioritizes customer satisfaction so that they always look beautiful, healthy and youthful in accordance with the expectations and desires of customers as well as current trends. which was adopted by Farina who already exists at Farina Beauty Clinic, namely Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile. This study aims to determine the level of quality and the factors that drive the Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile application using the Service Quality (Servgual) method. The sampling method used in this research is Non-Probability Sampling with purposive sampling technique, with the number of respondents as many as 158 respondents. The results of this study indicate that all indicators X1, X2, X3, X3, X4 and X5 on the t test and the successive test have a significant effect on the user's application quality because they have a sign value of 0.001 < 0.05 and a t value Count > t Table. Farina Beauty Clinic is expected to be able to maintain indicators that have satisfied its performance and improve low performance attributes so that users are satisfied with the performance provided by Farina Beauty Clinic to users.

Keywords: Analysis, Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile, Service Quality

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of technology today is increasingly developing from time to time as we know, to overcome and increase the effectiveness and efficiency of the process in a particular job in accordance with the field. Technological improvements have an impact on people who can only use their energy in one of their talents. For this reason, technology can also facilitate and speed up work processes such as problem solving or decision making, so that in the process of plans that have been made, the implementation and improvement processes can be carried out very easily and precisely. Farina Beauty Clinic is one of the beauty clinics which is a beauty clinic in Karawang that handles facial and body skin beauty problems

Farina Beauty Clinic really prioritizes customer satisfaction so that they always look beautiful, healthy and youthful in accordance with the expectations and desires of customers as well as current trends, until now Farina Beauty Clinic has always been the number one beauty clinic that has become a trust, choice and pride for the people of Karawang. by making it easy and the technology adopted by Farina that already exists at Farina Beauty Clinic, namely Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile.

The purpose of this study was to determine the quality of Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile. Several previous studies conducted by (Widodo et al., 2016)(Santoso & Anwar, 2015) (Umam & Hariastuti, 2018) (Shanny et al., 2013) and (Ellyusman, 2017) revealed that to determine the quality of the system or application can use the Service Quality (Servqual) method. Service quality or often abbreviated as Servqual, is a method used to measure the service quality of the attributes of each dimension, so that a gap value

The 2nd International Conference on Inovations in Social Sciences Education and Engineering (ICoISSEE) August 07th, 2021

will be obtained which is the difference between consumer perceptions of services that have been received and expectations of those that will be received (Zuraidah, 2018). There are five dimensions of measuring the quality of servqual, namely tangible, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy (Widyarto et al., 2018). Based on this description, researchers are interested in measuring the quality of Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile. The results of this research are expected to provide input to Farina Beauty Clinic to improve the quality of existing services.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 Analysis

The system is a collection of elements that interact to achieve a certain goal. This system describes real events and entities, such as places, things and people that actually exist and occur (Jogiyanto, 2005). Meanwhile, according to Murdick, R. G (1991:27) The system is a set of elements that form a collection or procedures or processing charts that seek a common goal or goal by operating data and/or goods at a certain reference time to produce information and/or energy and/or goods (Putera & Ibrahim, 2018).

2.2 Application System Quality

According to (Widodo et al., 2016) defines an application system, namely a set of interrelated parts and together achieve a specific and objective application system, an application system must have a linkage, integration and objective center in the organization. System quality is a characteristic of the inherent information about the system itself where system quality refers to how well the capabilities of the hardware, software, and policy procedures of the information system can provide information on user needs (Septianita et al., 2014).

2.3 Application System Quality

According to the article (Radito, 2014) the service quality approach that is widely used as a reference in marketing research is the Service Quality (servqual) model developed by Parasuraman and Zeithaml (2004). There are five determinants of service quality, including Tangibles (appearance of physical facilities, equipment), Realiability (reliability, ability to carry out services), Responsiveness (responsiveness, willingness to help customers), Assurance (guarantee and certainty), Empathy (personal attention, terms and conditions). to care) (Kotler, 2004). The five dimensions of service quality are likely to provide customer satisfaction if the Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile application always serves the best possible for customers.

According to Zeithaml, Parasuraman & Berry (2004) in (Setyawan, 2004) There are five dimensions of service quality, namely:

- 1. Tangibles (direct evidence), is a form of physical reality including physical facilities, equipment, employees and means of communication.
- 2. Reliability, namely the ability to provide the promised service immediately and satisfactorily.
- 3. Responsiveness (responsiveness), namely the desire of the staff to help customers and provide responsive service.
- 4. Assurance, which includes the ability, courtesy and trustworthiness of the staff, free from danger, risk or doubt.
- 5. Empathy, is the attitude of giving full attention including the ease of making good communication relationships and understanding the needs of customers.

3. RESEARCH METHODS/METHODOLOGY

This type of research is quantitative research. Quantitative research is conducted by collecting data to answer statements related to the variables that have been studied. Furthermore, the researchers used the approach used using Service Quality (servqual) which was developed by Parasuraman, et al (2004) from article citations (Fristiohady et al., 2020) which consists of five dimensions of quality, namely tangible, reliability, assurance, responsiveness and empathy. on the subject and object that already exists and is appropriate to get the results of the conclusion. The population in this study were all customers of Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile in Karawang Regency. The sample in this

The 2nd International Conference on Inovations in Social Sciences Education and Engineering (ICoISSEE)

August 07th, 2021

study are customers and people who use or users of Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile. The type of sample in this study is Non-Probability Sampling. The sampling technique in this study was conducted with a non-random sampling technique. This sample determination method is purposive sampling method, with a total of 158 respondents for customers as users of Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile.



Based on the research model above, the hypothesis in this study is as follows. Alternative hypothesis (Ha)

Ha1: Reliability (X1) has a significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

Ha2: Response (X2) has a significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

Ha3: Confidence (X3) has a significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

Ha4: Empathy (X4) has a significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

Ha5: Tangible (X5) has a significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

The null hypothesis (Ho)

Ho1: Reliability (X1) has no significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

Ho2: Response (X2) has no significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

Ho3: Confidence (X3) has no significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

Ho4: Empathy (X4) has no significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

Ho5: Tangible (X5) on the physical has no significant effect on user satisfaction (Y)

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Characteristics of Respondents

Respondents in this study were customers who used the Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile application, from the number of questionnaires that had been collected 158 respondents that would be used for data analysis in this study, the characteristics of the respondents in this study were name, gender, age, last education, occupation. and types of members.

	Eroquopov	/ Percent Valid (Cumulative		
	Frequency	reiceni	Percent	Percent		
Age						

	Table 1	Characteristics	of Res	pondents
--	---------	-----------------	--------	----------

The 2 nd International Conference on Inovations
in Social Sciences Education and Engineering (ICoISSEE)
August 07 th . 2021

		,	Valid	Cumulative		
	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent		
16-23 Years	57	36.1	36.1	36.1		
24-30 Years	62	39.2	39.2	75.3		
31-37 Years	20	12.7	12.7	88		
38-44 Years	16	10.1	10.1	98.1		
45-51 Years	2	1.3	1.3	99.4		
> 51 Years	1	0.6	0.6	100		
Total	158	100	100			
	(Gender				
Man	37	23.4	23.4	23.4		
Woman	121	76.6	76.6	100		
Total	158	100	100			
	Ed	ducation				
Middle School	1	0.6	0.6	0.6		
High School	6	3.8	3.8	4.4		
Diploma	120	75.9	75.9	80.4		
S1/S2/S3	31	19.6	19.6	100		
Total	158	100	100			
	Pr	ofession				
Doctor	1	0.6	0.6	0.6		
Teacher	1	0.6	0.6	1.3		
Housewife	35	22.2	22.2	23.4		
Private Employees	73	46.2	46.2	69.6		
College Student	21	13.3	13.3	82.9		
Civil Servant	7	4.4	4.4	87.3		
Entrepreneur	20	12.7	12.7	100		
Total	158	100	100			
Member						
Reguler	148	93.7	93.7	93.7		
VIP	10	6.3	6.3	100		
Total	158	100	100			

4.2. Validity Test

The validity test in a study was carried out to measure the validity or invalidity of a questionnaire that we distributed to respondents, the questionnaire was said to be valid if the questions on the questionnaire were able to reveal what things would be measured by the questionnaire.

And from the calculation results obtained the correlation value between the statement scores that have been made by the researcher with the total score. This value is then compared with the value of the r table at the significance of 0.05 and the number of data is 158 questionnaires, then the r table is 0.159. If r count > r table then the question item is declared valid, whereas if r count < r table then the question item is declared valid.

The following are the results of the calculation of the validity of the data dimension using SPSS, as follows:

Correlations							
X1 Percepti	ion Reliability	X1K.1	X1K.2	X1K.3	X1.TOTAL		
X1K.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.843**	.800**	.936**		
X1K.2	Pearson Correlation	.843**	1	.911**	.963**		
X1K.3	Pearson Correlation	.800**	.911**	1	.947**		
X1.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.936**	.963**	.947**	1		
X2 Perception Responsiveness		X2K.1	X2K.2	X2K.3	X2.TOTAL		
X2K.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.790**	.811**	.923**		
X2K.2	Pearson Correlation	.790**	1	.903**	.951**		
X2K.3	Pearson Correlation	.811**	.903**	1	.956**		

Table 2 Validity Test Results for Perception Servgual Items

The 2nd International Conference on Inovations in Social Sciences Education and Engineering (ICoISSEE) August 07th, 2021

X2.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.923**	.951**	.956**	1
X3 Percept	ion Assurance	X3K.1	X3K.2	X3K.3	X3.TOTAL
X3K.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.823**	.782**	.930**
X3K.2	Pearson Correlation	.823**	1	.805**	.940**
X3K.3	Pearson Correlation	.782**	.805**	1	.926**
X3.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.930**	.940**	.926**	1
X4 Percept	ion Empaty	X4K.1	X4K.2	X4K.3	X4.TOTAL
X4K.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.420**	.744**	.789**
X4K.2	Pearson Correlation	.420**	1	.607**	.863**
X4K.3	Pearson Correlation	.744**	.607**	1	.885**
X4.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.789**	.863**	.885**	1
X5 Perception Tangible		X5K.1	X5K.2	X5K.3	X5.TOTAL
X5K.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.819**	.843**	.938**
X5K.2	Pearson Correlation	.819**	1	.834**	.941**
X5K.3	Pearson Correlation	.843**	.834**	1	.947**
X5.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.938**	.941**	.947**	1
Y Perception User Satisfaction		YK.1	YK.2	YK.3	YK.TOTAL
YK.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.942**	.900**	.971**
YK.2	Pearson Correlation	.942**	1	.933**	.983**
YK.3	Pearson Correlation	.900**	.933**	1	.969**
YK.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.971**	.983**	.969**	1

Table 3 Servqual Item Expected Validity Test Results

Correlations							
X1 Expecta	tions Reliability	X1H.1	X1H.2	X1H.3	X1.TOTAL		
X1H.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.920**	.896**	.669**		
X1H.2	Pearson Correlation	.920**	1	.938**	.675**		
X1H.3	Pearson Correlation	.896**	.938**	1	.679**		
X1.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.669**	.675**	.679**	1		
X2 Expecta	tions	X2H.1	X2H.2	X2H.3	X2.TOTAL		
Responsive	eness						
X2H.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.881**	.588**	.586**		
X2H.2	Pearson Correlation	.881**	1	.661**	.648**		
X2H.3	Pearson Correlation	.588**	.661**	1	.885**		
X2.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.586**	.648**	.885**	1		
X3 Expecta	tions Assurance	X3H.1	X3H.2	X3H.3	X3.TOTAL		
X3H.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.635**	.640**	.668**		
X3H.2	Pearson Correlation	.635**	1	.863**	.774**		
X3H.3	Pearson Correlation	.640**	.863**	1	.917**		
X3.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.668**	.774**	.917**	1		
X4 Expecta	tions Empaty	X4H.1	X4H.2	X4H.3	X4.TOTAL		
X4H.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.908**	.874**	.696**		
X4H.2	Pearson Correlation	.908**	1	.936**	.671**		
X4H.3	Pearson Correlation	.874**	.936**	1	.697**		
X4.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.696**	.671**	.697**	1		
X5 Expecta	tions Tangible	X5H.1	X5H.2	X5H.3	X5.TOTAL		
X5H.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.661**	.648**	.649**		
X5H.2	Pearson Correlation	.661**	1	.885**	.858**		
X5H.3	Pearson Correlation	.648**	.885**	1	.933**		
X5.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.649**	.858**	.933**	1		
Y Expectations User		YH.1	YH.2	YH.3	YH.TOTAL		
Satisfaction							
YH.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.863**	.774**	.585**		
YH.2	Pearson Correlation	.863**	1	.917**	.618**		
YH.3	Pearson Correlation	.774**	.917**	1	.553**		
YH.TOTAL	Pearson Correlation	.585**	.618**	.553**	1		

The 2nd International Conference on Inovations in Social Sciences Education and Engineering (ICoISSEE)

August 07th, 2021

Based on the test results in the r table of the sample (N) = 158, the results of the validity test resulted that of all instruments namely Expectation and Perception Variables (Realibility, Responsiveness, Assurance, Empathy, Tangible and User satisfaction) all yielded values (r Count) < than (r table) and so that all instruments in this study were declared all VALID instruments.

4.3. Reliability Test

The reliability test in this study was used to measure the level of consistency of respondents' responses to statement items based on the understanding and perceived respondents to statements using Cronbach's Alpha technique with the following conditions:

- 1. If the alpha value > crisis value (0.60) with df = N-2 with a significance level of 5%, the research instrument is reliable.
- 2. If the alpha value < crisis value (0.00) with df = N-2 with a significance level of 5%, the research instrument is not reliable.

Reliability Statistics					
	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items			
Perception	0.943	18			
Expectations	0.69	18			

Table 4 Reliability Test Results

According to the results of the questionnaire reliability test on Expectations and Perceptions resulted in Cronbach's Alpha value, namely performance/perception with a value of 0.943 and a value of expectation/expectation with a value of 0.690 and based on the results obtained, the reliability test was declared reliable because Cronbach's Alpha value was greater with a value > crisis value. (0.60) with df = N-2 with a significance level of 5%.

4.4. Normality Test

In this study the Kolmogorov Smirnov normality test is part of the classical assumption test, the normality test aims to determine whether the residual value is normally distributed or not. The following is the Kolmogorov Smirnov normality test, namely:

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test						
		Unstandardized Residual				
Ν		158				
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	0				
	Std. Deviation	1				
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	0.139				
	Positive	0.118				
	Negative	-0.139				
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		1.743				
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		0.05				
a. Test distribution is Normal.						
b. User-Specified						

- . . - . .

Based on the results of the normality test, it is known that the significance value is 0.50 > 0.05, so the normality test can be concluded that the residual value is normally distributed.

4.5. Hypothesis Testing

The steps for testing the hypothesis begin with establishing the null hypothesis (Ho) and alternative hypothesis (Ha), selecting statistical tests and calculating statistical values, determining the level of significance and determining test criteria. The hypothesis in this study was tested using multiple linear regression analysis using the T test and F test.

	Coefficients ^a								
Model		Unstan	dardized	Standardized	t	Sig.			
		Coeff	icients	Coefficients		_			
		В	Std. Error	Beta					
1	(Constant)	7.676	0.82		9.364	0.001			
	Reliability	0.383	0.069	0.406	5.547	0.001			
2	(Constant)	7.676	0.82		9.364	0.001			
	Responsiveness	0.383	0.069	0.406	5.547	0.001			
3	(Constant)	5.845	0.908		6.437	0.001			
	Assurance	0.548	0.078	0.49	7.028	0.001			
4	(Constant)	7.046	0.777		9.063	0.001			
	Empaty	0.454	0.068	0.472	6.678	0.001			
5	(Constant)	4.491	0.825		5.442	0			
	Tangible	0.644	0.069	0.601	9.397	0			
a. De	ependent Variable: l	Jser Satisfa	action						

Table 6 Hypothesis Testing Results (T Test)

The results of the T test show that the significance value of the influence of reliability (X1) on user satisfaction (Y) is 0.001 < 0.005 and the value of t Count is 5.547 > the value of t Table is 1.975 then Ho1 is rejected and Ha1 is accepted meaning that there is a significant influence of reliability on user satisfaction.

The results of the t test show that the significance value of the effect of the response (X2) on user satisfaction (Y) is 0.001 < 0.005 and the value of t Count is 5.547 > the t-table value is 1.975, then Ho1 is rejected and Ha1 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant effect of responses on user satisfaction.

The results of the T test show that the significance value of the guarantee effect (X3) on user satisfaction (Y) is 0.001 < 0.005 and the value of t Count 7.028 > t table value of 1.975 then Ho1 is rejected and Ha1 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant effect of guarantee on user satisfaction.

The results of the T test show that the significance value of the influence of Empathy (X4) on user satisfaction (Y) is 0.001 < 0.005 and the value of t Count is 6.678 > the t-table value is 1.975, then Ho1 is rejected and Ha1 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant effect of Empathy on user satisfaction.

The results of the T test show that the significance value of the influence of Physical Evidence (X5) on user satisfaction (Y) is 0.001 < 0.005 and the value of t Count is 9.397 > the t-table value is 1.975 then Ho1 is rejected and Ha1 is accepted meaning that there is an effect of Physical Evidence on user satisfaction significantly. significant.

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	266.83	5	53.366	20.037	.001 ^b
1	Residual	404.822	152	2.663		
	Total	671.652	157			

Table 6 Hypothesis Testing Results (F Test) ANOVA^a

a. Dependent Variable: Kepuasan pengguna

b. Predictors: (Constant), Bukti Fisik, Keandalan, Empati, Tanggapan, Jaminan

From the table results, it can be seen that the significance value for the effect of Reliability (X1) Response (X2) Assurance (X3) Empathy (X4), and Physical Evidence (X5) on user satisfaction (Y) is 0.001 < 0.05 can f count 20.037 > 3.05 This results in that Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. Which means that there is a significant effect of X1, X2, X3, X3, X4 and X5 on user satisfaction (Y).

CONCLUSION

Farina Beauty Clinic is one of the beauty clinics which is a beauty clinic in Karawang that handles facial and body skin beauty problems. Farina Beauty Clinic

The 2nd International Conference on Inovations in Social Sciences Education and Engineering (ICoISSEE)

August 07th, 2021

prioritizes customer satisfaction by providing the best service. Farina Beauty Clinic services do not only come at the clinic but also provide services to customers through Farina Beauty Clinic. Therefore, this study aims to determine the quality of the Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile Application at Farina Beauty Clinic. Based on the results of the T test and F test, it shows that the indicators of Reliability(X1), Responsiveness (X2), Assurance (X3), Empathy (X4 and Tangible (X5) respectively have a sign value of 0.001 < 0.05 and a t value Count > t Table so that it is stated that all variables in this study have a significant effect on user satisfaction (Y), so it can be concluded that the quality of the Farina Beauty Clinic Mobile Application is good.

REFERENCES

- Ellyusman, S. (2017). Analisis Kualitas Sistem Informasi Akademik Menggunakan Metode Importance Performance Analysis (Ipa) (Studi Kasus Pada Website Portal Akademik Universitas Xyz Bandung). *Jurnal Kajian Informasi Dan Perpustakaan*, *5*(1), 51. https://doi.org/10.24198/jkip.v5i1.11908
- Fristiohady, A., Fitrawan, L. O. M., Pemudi, Y. D., Ihsan, S., Ruslin, R., Bafadal, M., Nurwati, N., & Ruslan, R. (2020). Analisis Kualitas Pelayanan terhadap Kepuasan Pasien Rawat Jalan di Puskesmas Puuwatu Kota Kendari menggunakan Metode SERVQUAL. Jurnal Surya Medika, 6(1), 6–12. https://doi.org/10.33084/jsm.v6i1.1442
- Jogiyanto. (2005). Analisa dan Desain Sistem Informasi: Pendekatan Terstruktur Teori dan Praktik Aplikasi Bisnis. Andi.
- Putera, A. R., & Ibrahim, M. (2018). Rancang Bangun Sistem Informasi Peminjaman dan Pengembalian Buku Perpustakaan SMP Negeri 1 Madiun. *DoubleClick: Journal of Computer* and Information Technology, 1(2), 57. https://doi.org/10.25273/doubleclick.v1i2.2025
- Radito, T. (2014). Analisis Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan Dan Fasilitas Kesehatan Terhadap Kepuasan Pasien Puskesmas. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, *11*(2), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.21831/jim.v11i2.11753
- Santoso, B. S., & Anwar, M. F. (2015). Analisis Kualitas Website Menggunakan Metode Webqual dan Importance-Performance Analysis (IPA) Pada Situs Kaskus. *National Conference on Information Technology and Technical Engineering (CITEE)*, *September*, 1–8.
- Septianita, Wi., Agus Winarno, W., & Arif, A. (2014). Pengaruh Kualitas Sistem, Kualitas Informasi, Kualitas Pelayanan Rail Ticketing System (RTS) Terhadap Kepuasan Pengguna (Studi Empiris Pada PT. KERETA API INDONESIA (PERSERO) DAOP 9 JEMBER). 1(1), 53–56.
- Setyawan, H. (2004). ANALISIS PERBEDAAN HARAPAN DAN PERSEPSI WAJIB PAJAK KENDARAAN BERMOTOR TERHADAP KUALITAS PELAYANAN PUBLIK (Studi Kasus Pada Kantor Samsat di Kota Semarang). *Dialogue*, 1(2), 290–311.
- Shanny, S., Sudri, N. M., & Nendissa, B. C. (2013). Meminimalkan GAP Kepuasan Pelanggan Dengan Metode Servqual Dan Berbasis IPA Pada Supermarket DI PT X. *Teknik Dan Ilmu Komputer*, 2(6).
- Umam, R. K., & Hariastuti, N. P. (2018). Analisis kepuasan pelanggan dengan menggunakan metode Customer Satisfaction Index (CSI) dan Importance Performance Analysis (IPA). Seminar Nasional Sains Dan Teknologi Terapan, 339– 344.
- Widodo, A., Putranti, H. R. D., & Nurchayati. (2016). Pengaruh Kualitas Sistem Aplikasi dan Kualitas Informasi Terhadap Kepuasan Pengguna Sistem Aplikasi RTS (Rail Ticketing System). *Jurmal Media Ekonomi Dan Manajemen*, *31*(2), 160–181.

Widyarto, W. O., Djamal, N., & Adhim, F. (2018). Analisis Kualitas Pelayanan Publik

The 2nd International Conference on Inovations in Social Sciences Education and Engineering (ICoISSEE)

August 07th, 2021

dengan Metode Fuzzy-Service Quality (F-Servqual) dan Index Potential Gain Customer Value (IPGCV). *Jurnal Sistem Dan Manajemen Industri*, 2(2), 101. https://doi.org/10.30656/jsmi.v2i2.769

Zuraidah, E. (2018). Analisis Kualitas Pelayanan Restoran Cepat Saji Dengan Metode Servqual (Service Quality). *Jurnal Prosisko*, *5*(2), 136–141.